# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,022	07/10/2001	Yuri Shtivelman	P3253C1	2846
24739 CENTER AT CO	7590 11/26/2007	V INC	EXAM	INER
	DAST PATENT AGENC` VAY SUITE D	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
WATSONVIL	LE, CA 95076		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s)  09/903,022 SHTIVELMAN  Examiner Art Unit  Steven H.D Nguyen 2619	
O9/903,022 SHTIVELMAN  Examiner Art Unit	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Examinor Account	address
Steven H.D Nguyen 2619	address
	address
e MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ply	
ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed of this communication, and in the provision of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). exceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is communication.
ponsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007.  September 2007.  This action is non-final.  This application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to seed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
of Claims	
m(s) 18,20,22,24 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  m(s) is/are allowed.  m(s) 18, 20, 22, 24-25 is/are rejected.  m(s) is/are objected to.  m(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Papers	
specification is objected to by the Examiner.  drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  licant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form	7 CFR 1.121(d)
r 35 U.S.C. § 119	
nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	nal Stage

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				. 1
Office Action Summary    Examiner				_TH
## Examiner   Art Unit	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Sleven H.D Nguyen  — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  If ND another reply is scholar delay in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply statute, cause the application of the set or extended period for reply statute, cause the application of the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for		09/903,022	SHTIVELMAN ET	AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37°CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to be lively filed  - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3Ki (9 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 September 2007.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to.  Application Papers  9) □ The precification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a cl	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply its specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARAMONDHE (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Ac		Steven H.D Nguyen	2619	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(e). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will supply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application to require timely flied, may reduce any extended period for regly will, by statule, cause the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHONED (35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (f).  - All by Island to the statule period for regly application to be contained by the Examiner.  - Applicant may not request that any objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR		appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirtion will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tutte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 18,20,22,24 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) ☐ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 18,20,22,24-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Status			
4) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 18.20.22.24-25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final.  wance except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 18, 20, 22, 24-25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Disposition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 18, 20, 22, 24-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	drawn from consideration.	·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Application Papers	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	ents have been received.		

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Αt	ta	CI	П	II	16	ın	ц	S	į

۱١	Notice (	of References	Cited (	(PTO-892)	ì
,,	 1101100 1	01 1/6161611663			

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

4) [	Interview Summary (PTO-41:
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

09/903,022 Art Unit: 2619

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18, 20, 22 and 24-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda (USP 6876632) in view of Barker (WO 98/10573) and Reimann (USP 5892764).

Takeda discloses a call waiting system comprising a SCP is PSTN (Fig 21, Ref 2 is SCP of PSTN); an Internet connected service provider (Fig 21, Ref 7 is internet service provider, Col. 17, line 65 to col. 18, lines 23); and cooperating software executing at ISP, SCP and on a user's Internet appliance for providing a call-waiting service (Figs 21-23, Ref 6b, 2 and 7 have a software for performing the function of call waiting service, See col. 18, line 24 to col. 21, lines 37 and col. 2, lines 1-12); wherein, in response to an indication at the ISP of a call for the user, said ISP generates an alert to the user's Internet appliance of the calls wherein the user can accepts, forwarding to another number, forwarding to mail box (See col. 18, line 24 to col. 21, lines 37 and col. 2, lines 1-12). However, Takeda fails to disclose when a user operating the internet appliance connect to the ISP for internet connection services a call forwarding service is automatically initiated causing the ISP to instruct the SCP to forward calls for the user to a specific number associated with ISP and the cooperating software on the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons. In the same field of endeavor, Baker discloses a method and system for

09/903,022

Art Unit: 2619

notifying an incoming call from ISP to the internet user by using icon and when a user operating the internet appliance connect to the ISP for internet connection services a call forwarding service is automatically initiated causing the ISP to instruct the SCP to forward calls for the user to a specific number associated with ISP (Page 34, lines 4 to page 35, lines 20 and Page 45, lines 16-27, after the user connected to ISP, the ISP instructs the telephone company central office to forward to call to the telephone number of ISP wherein the ISP will notify the incoming call to the internet user by present an icon wherein ISP and user's device has a software for notifying and accepting a call and PSTN has a software for forwarding the call to ISP). However, Takeda and Baker fail to disclose the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons. In the same field of endeavor, Reimann discloses the user transfers calls or the user initiates outgoing call by manipulating the individual icons and the icon is manipulated to indicate the status of incoming call to the user (Col. 13, lines 6 to col. 14, lines 62 and Fig 9).

Since a method and system for using an icon to present something, initiating a call forward to a specific number and activate a command are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for presenting each call as an icon to a user and providing the icons for transferring a call as disclosed by Reimann into the system and method of Barker which discloses a method and system for initiating call forwarding function at the ISP to the PSTN in order to allow the ISP to notify the user into the teaching of Takeda which discloses the use of SCP to forward the call to internet user. The motivation would have been to a friendly GUI to a user and reduce human error.

09/903,022 Art Unit: 2619

3. Claims 18, 20, 22 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tonnby (USP 6320857) in view of Smyk (WO 98/56133) and Reimann (USP 5892764).

Regarding claim 18, Tonnby discloses a call waiting system comprising an Internetconnected service provider "ISP" (Figs 1-4 and 6, ref 8 and 10); and cooperating software executing at the ISP (Figs 1-4 and 6 have a loaded software for executing the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user) and on a user's Internet appliance for providing a call-waiting service (Figs 1-4 and 6 have loaded software for executing the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user); wherein, in response to an indication at the service system of a call for the user, said service system generates an alert to the user's Internet appliance of the calls (Col. 5, lines 15-65). However, Tonnby fails to disclose the cooperating software on SCP or the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons and a user operating the internet appliance connect to the ISP for internet connection services a call forwarding service is automatically initiated causing the ISP to instruct the SCP to forward calls for the user to a specific number associated with ISP. In the same field of endeavor, Smyk discloses a software is executed on client terminal, SCP and ISP (Fig 1, client terminal, Ref 116, 118 and 180; ISP Ref 110 and 124 and SCP Ref 122) ISP server performing a call forward function by instructing SCP to route the incoming call to the client terminal to ITG routing number (Page 6, lines 2-21, Page 7, lines 6-14, Page 8, lines 17-19). However, Tonnby and Smyk fail to disclose the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons. In the same field of endeavor, Reimann discloses the cooperating software

09/903,022

Art Unit: 2619

on the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons (Col. 13, lines 6 to col. 14, lines 62 and Fig 9).

Since a method and system for using an icon to present something, initiating a call forward to a specific number and activate a command are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for presenting each call as an icon to a user and providing the icons for transferring a call as disclosed by Reimann into the system of Smyk which allows the ISP to initiating a call forwarding function to instruct the SCP to forward a incoming call to the client terminal to a specific number of the telephone gateway into the teaching of Tonnby. The motivation would have been to a friendly GUI to a user and reduce human error.

Regarding claim 20, Tonnby discloses a call-waiting system, comprising an Internetconnected service system "ISP" (Figs 1-4 and 6, ref 8 and 10); and cooperating software
executing at the service system (Figs 1-4 and 6 have a loaded software for executing the
functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user) and on a user's Intemet
appliance for providing a call-waiting service (Figs 1-4 and 6 have loaded software for executing
the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user); wherein, in
response to indications at the service system of calls for the user, said service system generates
alerts to the user's Intemet appliance of the calls (Col. 2, lines 56-67 and Col. 5, lines 15-65).
However, Tonnby fails to disclose the cooperating software on SCP or the user's Internet
appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the
individual icons and a user operating the internet appliance connect to the ISP for internet

09/903,022 Art Unit: 2619

connection services a call forwarding service is automatically initiated causing the ISP to instruct the SCP to forward calls for the user to a specific number associated with ISP. In the same field of endeavor, Smyk discloses a software is executed on client terminal, SCP and ISP (Fig 1, client terminal, Ref 116, 118 and 180; ISP Ref 110 and 124 and SCP Ref 122) ISP server performing a call forward function by instructing SCP to route the incoming call to the client terminal to ITG routing number (Page 6, lines 2-21, Page 7, lines 6-14, Page 8, lines 17-19). However, Tonnby and Smyk fail to disclose the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons. In the same field of endeavor, Reimann discloses the cooperating software on the user's internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user interfaces with calls by manipulating the individual icons (Col. 13, lines 6 to col. 14, lines 62 and Fig 9).

Since a method and system for using an icon to present something, initiating a call forward to a specific number and activate a command are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for presenting each call as an icon to a user and providing the icons to allow a user to interface with the calls as disclosed by Reimann into the system of Smyk which allows the ISP to initiating a call forwarding function to instruct the SCP to forward a incoming call to the client terminal to a specific number of the telephone gateway into the teaching of Tonnby. The motivation would have been to a friendly GUI to a user and reduce human error.

Regarding claim 22, Tonnby discloses a call-waiting system comprising an Internetconnected service system "ISP" (Figs 1-4 and 6, ref 8 and 10); and cooperating software

09/903,022

Art Unit: 2619

executing at the service system (Figs 1-4 and 6 have a loaded software for executing the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user) and on a user's Internet appliance for providing a call-waiting service (Figs 1-4 and 6 have loaded software for executing the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user); wherein, in response to indications at the service system of a call for the user, said service system generates an alert to the user's Internet appliance of the call (Col. 5, lines 15-65). However, Tonnby fails to disclose the cooperating software on SCP or the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons and a user operating the internet appliance connect to the ISP for internet connection services a call forwarding service is automatically initiated causing the ISP to instruct the SCP to forward calls for the user to a specific number associated with ISP. In the same field of endeavor, Smyk discloses a software is executed on client terminal, SCP and ISP (Fig 1, client terminal, Ref 116, 118 and 180; ISP Ref 110 and 124 and SCP Ref 122) ISP server performing a call forward function by instructing SCP to route the incoming call to the client terminal to ITG routing number (Page 6, lines 2-21, Page 7, lines 6-14, Page 8, lines 17-19). However, Tonnby and Smyk fail to disclose the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons. In the same field of endeavor, Reimann discloses the cooperating software on the user's internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user initiates outgoing calls by manipulating the icons (Col. 13, lines 6 to col. 14, lines 62 and Fig 9).

Since a method and system for using an icon to present something, activate a command and initiating a call forward to a specific number are well known and expected in the art at the

09/903,022

Art Unit: 2619

time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for presenting each call as an icon to a user and initiating the outgoing calls by manipulating the icons as disclosed by Reimann into the system of Smyk which allows the ISP to initiating a call forwarding function to instruct the SCP to forward a incoming call to the client terminal to a specific number of the telephone gateway into the teaching of Tonnby. The motivation would have been to a friendly GUI to a user and reduce human error.

Regarding claims 24-25, Tonnby discloses a call-waiting system comprising an Internetconnected service system "ISP" (Figs 1-4 and 6, ref 8 and 10); and cooperating software executing at the service system (Figs 1-4 and 6 have a loaded software for executing the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user) and on a user's Internet appliance for providing a call-waiting service (Figs 1-4 and 6 have loaded software for executing the functions based on incoming from network or outgoing calls from a user); wherein, in response to indications at the service system of a call for the user, said service system generates an alert to the user's Internet appliance of the call (Col. 5, lines 15-65). However, Tonnby fails to disclose the cooperating software on SCP or the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons and a user operating the internet appliance connect to the ISP for internet connection services a call forwarding service is automatically initiated causing the ISP to instruct the SCP to forward calls for the user to a specific number associated with ISP. In the same field of endeavor, Smyk discloses a software is executed on client terminal, SCP and ISP (Fig 1, client terminal, Ref 116, 118 and 180; ISP Ref 110 and 124 and SCP Ref 122) ISP server performing a call forward function by

09/903,022

Art Unit: 2619

instructing SCP to route the incoming call to the client terminal to ITG routing number (Page 6, lines 2-21, Page 7, lines 6-14, Page 8, lines 17-19). However, Tonnby and Smyk fail to disclose the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user transfers calls by manipulating the individual icons. In the same field of endeavor, Reimann discloses the cooperating software on the user's internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the user causes a pre-recorded message to be played to the caller by manipulating the icons and the icon is manipulated to indicate the status of incoming call to the user (Col. 13, lines 6 to col. 14, lines 62 and Fig 9).

Since a method and system for using an icon to present something, activate a command and initiating a call forward to a specific number are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for presenting each call as an icon to a user and played a recorded message to the caller by manipulating the icons and the icon is manipulated to indicate the status of incoming call to the user as disclosed by Reimann into the system of Smyk which allows the ISP to initiating a call forwarding function to instruct the SCP to forward a incoming call to the client terminal to a specific number of the telephone gateway into the teaching of Tonnby. The motivation would have been to a friendly GUI to a user and reduce human error.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2619

In response to page 5, the applicant states that this application is a div of the application 08/928410 which filed 10/09/97 now USP 6064667. In reply, the examiner disagrees with the applicant because the '667 does not disclose the limitation of the claims such as performing call forwarding when the dial numbered is surfing Internet. So, a filing date of this application must be 7/10/2001 because it's a CIP of 6064668. Therefore, Takeda is valid prior art.

In response to page 6, the applicant states that Smyk fails to disclose a method and system when a user connection to ISP, a call forwarding service is automatically initiated to cause ISP instructing SCP forward the call to a specific number without intermediate step. In reply, the claims do not exclude the intermidiate steps.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

09/903,022

Art Unit: 2619

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H.D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven H.D Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2619